Peer review is an essential part of journal publishing: the editorial team relays on it for making decision on received manuscripts and authors may use it to improve their texts before publication (in this or other journals). Please consider the following evaluation criteria as a guide for providing feedback on the article assigned to you for review.

**Article**

**Title**

Write your answer/comments here

**Review criteria**

**Relevance for the journal**

Indicate whether the article is in line with the journal’s mission and thematic coverage.

Write your answer/comments here

**Key findings**

Summarize what you consider to be the most significant theses or contributions of the article.

Write your answer/comments here

**Soundness and structure**

Assess data or sources interpretation, argumentation and conclusions, and the structure of the text.

* **Argumentative thread**. Is there a coherent bond between title, theme, objectives and conclusions? Does the article have a defined objective and a clear and supported line of argumentation?
* **Data and sources treatment.** Are they carefully interpreted?
* **Cconclusion’s support.** Are conclusions sufficiently supported by the provided evidence?

Write your answer/comments here

**Significant contribution to the field**

Examine the article’s contribution.

* Indicate what contribution or advance the text represents for the field in relation to other similar works already published.
* Specify whether the article proposes theoretical, methodological or analytical approaches that can be used in other studies.

**Data, sources and research methodology**

Check the validity of the approach to the problem, the quality of the data or sources and their presentation.

* **Methodology.** Is the methodology clear and sufficiently described? Is the methodology correctly executed?
* **Data quality.** Is the methodology or technique for data retrieval appropriate? Have the data been presented in sufficient detail?
* **Sources’ quality.** Are the article’s sources relevant and sufficient? Are they sufficiently contextualized?

Write your answer/comments here

**Clarity**

Comment on the clarity of the ideas presented in the text and their organization. Please point out if there are problems in the use of disciplinary language —asides form spelling or grammar errors.

Write your answer/comments here

**References**

Assess the cited literature.

* Is the use of bibliographic sources appropriate?
* Is the literature relevant and up to date?
* Is the literature sufficient?

Write your answer/comments here

**Possible improvements**

Please indicate if you have additional suggestions that could improve the article.

Write your answer/comments here

**Final recommendation**

Do you recommend this article for publication in *Naturaleza y Sociedad. Desafíos Medioambientales*?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Accept submission  |
|  | Minor revision required  |
|  | Mayor revision required  |
|  | Reject submission  |